



UNIFICATION AT BHP WOULD DELIVER IN EXCESS OF US\$22 BILLION OF SHAREHOLDER VALUE – INDEPENDENT REPORT BY FTI CONSULTING

ELLIOTT SENDS LETTER TO BHP'S CHAIRMAN CALLING FOR A FULL, INDEPENDENT AND TRANSPARENT REVIEW OF UNIFICATION BY BHP

Your attention is drawn to the important information which is set out in the appendices to this document which, together with other important related materials, is publicly available at www.fixingBHP.com

(Hong Kong, February 5, 2018) – In a letter sent today, Elliott called on BHP Chairman Ken MacKenzie and the Board of Directors to publicly commit - by the date of announcement of the company's half-year results on February 20th – to undertake a full, independent and transparent review of unification at BHP.

FTI Consulting, one of the world's leading independent global business advisory firms, conducted and set out in its report an independent analysis on the benefits and costs of unification at BHP (the “**Independent Report**”). That report, published today along with the letter, was commissioned by Elliott in order to move the issue forward, and in response to the demands of numerous BHP shareholders around the world for these issues to be properly examined. The report highlights the next, necessary step at BHP, which is for the Board to commit to resolving the issue of BHP's obsolete and value-destroying dual-listed company (DLC) structure.

FTI Consulting's independent analysis found that unification would:

- ***Deliver in excess of US\$22 billion in value to BHP shareholders***
- ***Cost only c. US\$391 million (including advisory fees), while preserving BHP's ability to use PLC's carry-forward tax loss assets following unification***
- ***Provide BHP with valuable strategic optionality and flexibility***

Elliott is encouraged by the increase in focus on shareholder value at BHP in recent months. Those efforts include a refreshed Board, the intention to exit the U.S. Onshore assets, a commitment to delay further expansion of the Jansen Potash project, a more rigorous approach to capital allocation, and a greater commitment to listen to shareholders and to provide the market with thorough analysis of important issues.

Elliott noted in the letter that a unified BHP would finally have the flexibility to optimize shareholder value when making acquisitions, with the capacity to avoid a material portion of the value destruction which has been associated with some of BHP's cash-only acquisitions.

Elliott also noted in the letter that unification is an important gateway for BHP to make the most of other value initiatives – such as future demergers of assets, which have attracted recent market commentary and would be made simpler under a unified BHP.

Given the magnitude of the value release opportunity of over US\$22 billion outlined in the Independent Report, as well as the other benefits of unification, Elliott believes that the next logical step is for the Chairman and Board to now turn their attention to undertaking a full, independent and transparent review of unification at BHP.

The key conclusions in the Independent Report

The Independent Report provides the in-depth analysis and findings of FTI Consulting on the topic of unification of BHP's DLC structure.

The findings in the Independent Report demonstrate that unification at BHP would, among other matters:

- ***Release in excess of US\$22 billion in aggregate additional value to BHP's shareholders – equal to c. 18% of BHP's current market capitalization – comprising:***
 - a US\$14.1 billion increase in BHP's market value, reflecting the enhanced strategic, financial, organizational and structural enhancements enjoyed by a unified BHP; plus
 - US\$8.7 billion of additional value unlocked from fully-franked dividends and discounted off-market buybacks undertaken by a unified BHP.
- ***Only actually cost BHP c. US\$391 million to achieve, including advisory fees, and can be structured to maintain the ability to use PLC's carry-forward tax loss assets.***

Unification at BHP – a critical shareholder value issue requiring prompt action

The Independent Report also demonstrates that with BHP's income and cash position strengthening – through the positive commodities and business cycle and the potential cash proceeds from the disposal of BHP's U.S. Onshore assets – unification would significantly help BHP to optimize the value impact of dividends and share buybacks.

The letter and the Independent Report can be downloaded at www.fixingBHP.com

About Elliott

Founded in 1977, Elliott manages two funds, Elliott Associates, L.P. and Elliott International, L.P., with assets under management totaling more than US\$34 billion.

Elliott's investors include pension plans, sovereign wealth funds, hospital and university endowments, charitable foundations, funds-of-funds, individuals and families and employees of the firm.

With tens of millions of beneficiary stakeholders located on five continents, Elliott's primary focus is on risk control, stability, and steady growth of capital. With 41 years of experience, it is one of the oldest hedge funds under continuous management. Today, Elliott has offices in New York, London, Hong Kong and Tokyo and employs a staff of 440 people, including 160 investment professionals.

Elliott is a multi-strategy hedge fund, carrying out a diverse range of investment activities. Its strategies include actively managed equity investments in which Elliott's objectives include promoting shareholder value and good corporate governance for the benefit of all shareholders.

For further information, call:

New York

Elliott

Michael O'Looney
+1 (212) 478-2687

London

Camarco

Billy Clegg
+44(0) 20 3757 4983

Gordon Poole

+44(0) 20 3757 4997

Sydney

Honner

Michael Mullane
+61(0) 414 590 296

February 5, 2018

www.fixingBHP.com

LETTER TO KEN MACKENZIE, CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF EACH OF BHP BILLITON LIMITED (“LIMITED”) AND BHP BILLITON PLC (“PLC” AND TOGETHER WITH LIMITED, “BHP”)

Your attention is drawn to the important information which is set out in the enclosed FTI report and in the other important related materials publicly available at www.fixingBHP.com

Dear Chairman MacKenzie:

We are writing to you on behalf of Elliott Associates, L.P. and Elliott International, L.P. (together, the “**Elliott Funds**”) on the pressing and vital topic of unifying BHP’s dual-listed company (DLC) structure.

Since your appointment as Chairman, there has been a welcome increase in focus on shareholder value at BHP. The company has made encouraging progress in areas such as governance, portfolio review, capital allocation, and transparency. We commend you and the Board for these improvements.

The purpose of today’s letter is to highlight the next, necessary step at BHP, which is for the Board to commit to resolving, without further delay, the issue of BHP’s obsolete and value-destroying DLC structure.

Elliott has consistently urged BHP to conduct an independent review to provide shareholders with hard facts on the benefits and costs of unification. To move the issue forward - and in response to the demands of numerous BHP shareholders around the world for these issues to be properly examined - Elliott commissioned **FTI Consulting**, one of the world’s leading independent global business advisory firms, to conduct an independent analysis of unification. A copy of the resulting report (the “**Independent Report**”) is enclosed with this letter.

FTI Consulting’s independent analysis found that unification would:

- ***Deliver in excess of US\$22 billion in value to BHP shareholders***
- ***Cost only c. US\$391 million (including advisory fees), while preserving BHP’s ability to use PLC’s carry-forward tax loss assets following unification***
- ***Provide BHP with valuable strategic optionality and flexibility***

Each of these benefits is summarized in greater detail below, and we are confident that BHP’s own independent review would confirm them. But in order to prevent any further value destruction resulting from the current inefficient structure, it is imperative that BHP properly address and move ahead with unification without delay.

BHP's income and cash position is continuing to strengthen through the positive commodities and business cycle and the potential all-cash disposal of BHP's U.S. Onshore assets. Under these circumstances, and as the Independent Report clearly demonstrates, BHP's corporate structure will greatly impact the amount of value delivered to shareholders through dividends and share buybacks utilizing BHP's excess cash.

Accordingly, given the significantly enhanced value uplift from undertaking capital returns within a unified structure, it is vital to properly address unification so as to provide optimal value to shareholders, including by way of the return of any cash proceeds from the disposal of the U.S. Onshore assets. To undertake a large capital-return program without fully reviewing the benefits of unification risks imposing a sub-optimal value outcome for BHP's owners from those dividends and buybacks.

Unification would deliver in excess of US\$22 billion in value to BHP shareholders

It is beyond serious doubt that unifying BHP's current, inefficient DLC structure would create significant value for shareholders. The debate has always concerned how much value would be created. FTI Consulting has undertaken an independent evaluation which measures the magnitude of the value release opportunity: **in excess of US\$22 billion, or equal to 18% of BHP's current market capitalization.**

The Independent Report found that **US\$14.1 billion** of this value would derive from a substantial increase in BHP's market value reflecting the enhanced strategic, financial, organizational and structural enhancements enjoyed by a unified BHP.

The report also confirmed that the current DLC structure has restricted the optimal release of value from franking credits via dividends and off-market buybacks. According to FTI, resolving this inefficiency would also deliver **US\$8.7 billion** in incremental value from unification owing to enhanced capital return benefits by way of the optimal release of franking credits *over and above* undertaking the expected capital returns via the existing DLC structure.

Unification would cost only c. US\$391 million (including advisory fees) and allow PLC's carry-forward tax loss assets to be preserved

The cost of unification has been the other subject of debate, with BHP executives having made claims in the past that the costs would include losing the benefit of meaningful tax-loss assets from PLC.

On this question, the Independent Report brings unambiguous good news: the report finds that unification can be structured to preserve BHP's ability to use these tax loss assets post-unification. This independent expert finding should help to dispel the persistent market confusion on that tax point to date.

Given the preservability of these tax losses, the Independent Report finds that unification would cost only c. US\$391 million, including advisory fees. That cost clearly pales in comparison to the benefits identified by the report.

Unification would provide BHP with valuable additional strategic flexibility

Despite management's claims that the current twin company structure provides two acquisition currencies, the evidence shows that BHP as a DLC has only ever paid 100% cash for acquisitions, while its industry peers have on average used 60% cash/40% stock for acquisitions. This issue is common among DLCs – the analysis of the full universe of DLC acquisitions analyzed since 1995 shows 0.5% of transactions funded with equity, whereas non-DLC peers used stock for 37.5% of deals.

A unified BHP would finally have the flexibility to optimize shareholder value when making acquisitions, with the capacity to avoid a material portion of the value destruction which has been associated with some of BHP's cash-only acquisitions. A prime case in point is the Petrohawk and Fayetteville acquisitions, where total cash acquisition costs were **US\$16.9 billion**. Even with those disastrous investments, BHP could have avoided **US\$2.5 billion** of unnecessary value destruction if it had paid for those assets with a mix of BHP shares and cash in line with its industry peers' average.

We also view unification as an important gateway for BHP to make the most of other value-oriented initiatives. For example, future demergers would be made simpler under a unified group structure, whether the business to be demerged comprises existing held-for-sale assets like U.S. shale, or other potential demerger candidates, such as conventional petroleum.

Next steps

BHP has recently taken a number of important steps that we view positively, including:

- **Governance** – the election of you as Chairman, with your track record of delivering shareholder value, combined with the appointment of two other new directors and a commitment to ongoing further board refreshment;
- **Portfolio review** – the announcement of commitments to exit the U.S. Onshore assets and to delay further expansion of the Jansen potash project;
- **Capital Allocation** – an increased focus on more rigorous capital allocation through a clearer and more formal framework, including the establishment of a working group comprising representatives of both management and the board;
- **Transparency** – a greater commitment to listen to shareholders and to provide the market with thorough analysis of important issues, such as BHP's membership of, and engagement with, industry bodies in relation to climate and energy policy.

While these are encouraging steps, more significant opportunities remain for BHP to maximize value and returns for its shareholders, with unification topping the list. It is not enough to point to the “*preliminary analysis*” or “*preliminary internal estimate[s]*” that BHP presented on certain aspects of unification in April 2017. That exercise was woefully inadequate to the task of assuring shareholders that the costs and benefits of this value-maximizing pathway have been comprehensively and independently explored by the company.

Given the clear value opportunity, and in order to ensure that no further value is destroyed by the inefficiencies of the current structure, it is our strongly-held view that the Board should publicly commit – by the date of announcement of the company’s half-year results on February 20th – to undertake an immediate, independent and transparent review of unification at BHP.

Elliott’s decision to commission FTI Consulting’s Independent Report grew from our long-held conviction, backed by our own extensive analysis, that unification has the ability to unlock significant value for BHP’s shareholders. Our conversations with dozens of BHP shareholders around the world underscored that conviction. Now that we have the report’s independent analysis and findings, which very clearly demonstrate the extent of the positive value case for unification, it is time for clear and tangible action to be taken.

We appreciate your and the Board’s full and timely consideration of the Independent Report. We would welcome engaging directly with you on matters pertaining to its findings, and look forward to the announcement of your own review.

Sincerely,

Elliott Advisors (HK) Limited

Appendix II

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

The open letter to BHP's Chairman dated February 5, 2018 is provided solely by Elliott Advisors (HK) Limited ("Elliott") (the "Open Letter"). Many of the statements in this letter are the opinions, interpretations and/or beliefs of Elliott which are based on its own analysis of publicly available information. Elliott is expressing those opinions, interpretations and beliefs solely in its capacity as an investment adviser to the Elliott Funds. Any statement or opinion expressed or implied in the Open Letter is provided in good faith but only on the basis that no investment decision(s) will be made based on, or other reliance will be placed on, any of the contents thereof by others. Nothing in the Open Letter, the enclosed presentation or in any related materials (together, the "Materials") is a statement of or indicates or implies any specific or probable value outcome for BHP's shareholders in any particular circumstance. Certain statements and opinions expressed or implied in the Materials are necessarily based on or involve assumptions, because not all information on BHP is publically available. If any of these assumptions are incorrect, it could cause statements and/or opinions to differ materially.

The Elliott Funds and/or any of their respective affiliates (i) may at any time in the future, without notice to any person (other than as required under, or in compliance with, applicable laws and regulations), increase or reduce their holdings of any BHP entity's shares or other equity or debt securities and/or may at any time have long, short, neutral or no economic or other exposure in respect of any BHP entity's shares or other equity or debt securities; and/or (ii) may now have and/or at any time in the future, without notice to any person (other than as required under, or in compliance with, applicable laws and regulations), may establish, increase and/or decrease long or short positions in respect of or related to any BHP entity's shares or other equity or debt securities, in each case irrespective of whether or not all or any part of the matters referred to in the Materials is, or is expected to be, implemented. As a result of its arrangements with the Elliott Funds and/or their affiliates, Elliott has a financial interest in the profitability of the Elliott Funds' positions in or relating to BHP.

The Materials are published solely for informational purposes and are not, and should not be construed as, investment, financial, legal, tax or other advice or recommendations. None of the Materials have been prepared for the purposes of being, or being classified as, any particular type of document under any particular law, regulation or guidance. The Materials are not intended to be and do not constitute or contain any investment recommendation as defined by Regulation (EU) No 596/2014. No information in the Materials should be construed as recommending or suggesting an investment strategy.

The Materials have been compiled based on publicly available information (which has not been separately verified by the Elliott Funds, Elliott, or any of their respective affiliates) and do not:

- (i) purport to be complete or comprehensive; or
- (ii) constitute an agreement, offer, a solicitation of an offer, or any advice or recommendation to enter into or conclude any transaction or take or refrain from taking any other course of action (whether on the terms shown herein or otherwise).

Changes may have occurred or may occur with respect to market data related to the Materials and neither the Elliott Funds, nor Elliott, nor any of their respective affiliates or any other person is under any obligation to provide any updated or additional information or to correct any inaccuracies in the Materials.

The information in the Materials contains 'forward-looking statements.' Specific forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and include, without limitation, words such as "may", "can", "will", "expects", "believes", "anticipates", "plans", "estimates", "projects", "targets", "forecasts", "seeks", "could", "would" or the negative of such terms or other variations on such terms or comparable terminology. Any forward-looking statements are based on the current intent, belief, expectations, estimates and projections of Elliott or of other persons. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that are difficult to predict and that could cause actual results to differ materially. Accordingly, you should not rely upon forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results and actual results may vary materially from what is expressed in or indicated by the forward-looking statements.

No representation or warranty, either expressed or implied, is provided in relation to the accuracy, completeness or reliability of the information contained in the Materials, nor is it intended to be a complete statement or summary of the securities, markets or developments referred to in the Materials. It should not be regarded by recipients as a substitute for the exercise of their own judgment. You should obtain your own professional advice and conduct your own independent evaluation with respect to the subject matter of the Materials. The information contained in the Materials has been made available on the basis that the recipient is a person into whose possession such information may be lawfully delivered in accordance with the laws of the jurisdiction in which the recipient is located.

Each of the Elliott Funds, Elliott, and their respective affiliates expressly disclaims any responsibility or liability for any loss howsoever arising from any use of, or reliance on, the Materials or their contents as a whole or in part by any person, or otherwise howsoever arising in connection with any of the Materials.